

CONCLUSION

This book has examined the inception, development, and modernization of Japanese-style organization. Japanese-style organization was closely linked to the development of the samurai social order. Its foundation was the household (*ie*), which grew out of the apparatus used by medieval warriors for territorial control and culminated in the provincial administration known as the domain. Having developed from the household, the most prominent feature of public administrations in the early modern period was their attempt to resolve or harmonize the inherent contradictions within them, as between bloodlines and talent, traditionalism and progressivism, patriarchy and group discussion, and groupism and individualism. Japanese-style organization, as defined in this text, took form when these various problems were successfully overcome through repeated conflicts and trial and error. The modes of organization that resulted facilitated the modernization of Japanese society. Modernization in Japan can be viewed in terms of the growth of these types of organizations.

While the distinct organizational structure of the warrior household served as the basis for the development of Japanese-style organization, it is also fruitful to examine the organizations utilized by commoners and merchants. Households become evident among commoners, both farmers and city-dwellers, at the beginning of the Tokugawa period. The constitution of commoner households was the same as for samurai: single entities that maintained family capital, occupation, and name. The

most important element for farmers was family capital, consisting of fields used in cultivation, which differentiated them from townsmen and merchants who prioritized the distinct techniques and economic rights belonging to family businesses. In spite of these differences, all commoner households preserved and transmitted family wealth, occupation, and the family name.

The organization of the prominent dry-goods merchants, the Echigoya 越後屋 Mitsui 三井 house, can serve as an example of Tokugawa-period merchants.¹ The Mitsui house consisted of a large familial group of main houses and branch houses. Each of the Mitsui-run shops had an operational organization consisting of a hierarchy of ranks and positions with a master (*shujin* 主人) at the top, followed by the *ōmotojime* 大元締, *motojime* 元締, *kaban myōdai* 加判名代, *motokatagakari myōdai* 元方掛名代, *kanjō myōdai* 勘定名代, *myōdai* 名代, *kōken* 後見, *tsūkin shihai* 通勤支配, *shihai* 支配, *kumigashira* 組頭, *yakugashira* 役頭, *ren'yaku* 連役, *kamiza* 上座, *hirayaku* 平役, and *kodomo* 子供.

The *kodomo*, or child, was at the bottom of the hierarchy started work at age twelve or thirteen, and served as an apprentice or novice. After working eight to twelve years, the *kodomo* usually advanced to ordinary worker (*hirayaku*), and then to the post of *kamiza* after a few more years, gaining promotion on the basis of age up to the post of group leader (*kumigashira*). Around the age of thirty, work performance took precedence over age. In this regard, there was a marked difference in rank between controller (*shihai*) and commuting controller (*tsūkin shihai*). Officers holding posts up to the rank of controller lived with the given Mitsui household, but those above the rank of commuting controller could marry and maintain their own households, separate from their work place.

Advancement to a managerial post, such as commuting controller, was limited, and most employees were dismissed at this point. Retirement in this system was amicable, with the former employee allowed to take the family name (*noren wake* 暖簾分け). If a regular clerk worked for a minimum of ten years, he was allowed to take the Echigoya name and the family crest. He received a retirement allowance and could establish an

independent business as a branch family of the Mitsui. Thanks to this process, Mitsui was a form of merchant clan composed of different households.

The scale of Mitsui's business operations was quite large. There were seven main houses started by the seven sons of the founder Mitsui Takatoshi. The household of Takatoshi's eldest son was the leader of the houses. The business consisted of the various main houses and the branch houses created by long-serving employees. These houses held the organization's capital jointly and managed business operations.

Mitsui's business organization possessed many of the features of samurai households, including a hierarchy of ranks, a seniority system, a bureaucracy, distinct groups within the organization, and perpetuity. However, the peculiarities of this merchant organization also deserve notice, such as the length of employment, the establishment of branch houses, and the strength of the main houses over the whole of the family's business operations. Warrior organizations of the Tokugawa period determined office by heredity, but occupational groups run by merchants and tradespeople did not employ people on that basis, and there was only limited interaction between villagers and workers in cities. Moreover, the formation of independent businesses by employees allowed to establish branch houses was distinct to the economic organizations of merchant households. The other side of the custom of creating branch households was the strong economic control exercised by the main house over the other houses.

Samurai and merchant organizations were different structurally according to their function; yet, the distinct organizational features that developed in the Tokugawa period remain part of the traditions of present-day government and business organizations in Japan. Unfortunately, these characteristics have not received sufficient scholarly and historical analysis. In fact, in the field of economics, the dominant scholarly arguments deny the continuity of Japanese traditions.² Characteristics that are referred to as Japanese-style management — lifelong employment, the seniority system, and company unions — are not recognized as the continuation of long standing traditions, but rather as being recent trends in

management and labor organization that started in America in the 1930s and spread throughout the world. These scholars argue that it is a mistake to view the new, universal trends as related to traditional forms of business and organization in Japan.

According to this dominant view, so-called Japanese-style management today is encapsulated by the system of on-the-job training that resulted from the industrial revolution and was adopted by businesses throughout the world in the 1930s as a way to foster highly skilled labor through a course of education within a given company. The system of on-the-job training produced forms of management and labor, such as local industrial unions, lifelong employment, and a seniority-based system of pay. Because these trends are viewed as universal, they are studied in the context of American companies, such as IBM, not as they appear in specific Japanese cases. This explanation merits weight, given that it was formulated from data drawn from research on a variety of specific types of management. Moreover, it is false to assume that the historical roots of both Japanese-style management and of Japanese-style organization, described in this book, stem from Japan alone. Nevertheless, it is one-sided to ignore traditions within Japan and only focus on theories derived from study of the world economy. Characteristics described in this book, such as loyalty to an organization, the promotion system, and the *ringi* method of decision making found in traditional Japanese organizations, have a direct bearing on Japanese society today.

Study of traditional organization in Japan and of trends in the world economy are not mutually exclusive. Without any relationship to Japanese traditions, forms of management and labor characterized by on-the-job training appeared throughout the world beginning in the 1930s, and these had an impact on Japan. However, the characteristics evident in the forms of management and labor accompanying the development of on-the-job training — namely, seniority-based promotion and pay, lifelong employment, and corporate familialism — all conform to traditional elements in Japan, particularly those that matured in the Tokugawa period. In other words, imported characteristics were well suited to Japan.

The arrival of Western culture during the Meiji era, especially liberal

economic ideas, was antithetical to traditional elements of Japanese organization, which were challenged as outmoded, and changed. Circumstances changed greatly in the 1930s when on-the-job training made its debut around the world. Traditional modes of management and labor as well as organizational characteristics, which had earlier been suppressed, received new support. The practices related to on-the-job training which appeared throughout the world in the 1930s were highly appropriate due to the distinct latent capacities remaining from traditional Japanese society.

One might assume that there would be resistance to the introduction and adoption of new types of management and labor relations since these were appropriate to different circumstances and long-standing conceptions of individualism in the West. Yet, there was almost no opposition of this sort in Japan. Indeed, Japan interpreted and applied the universal characteristics accompanying on-the-job training in terms of traditional modes of management and organization.

The side-by-side analysis of the characteristics of Japanese tradition and of universal modes of management and labor raises the contentious issue of whether Japanese culture is unique or universal, which is unresolvable. Yet, the distinct qualities of Japanese culture do not simply overlay universal characteristics. Traits found throughout the world are given concrete form in the cultural traditions of different countries, giving rise to a wealth of distinct phenomena. While it is important not to forget about universals, it is also necessary to examine the distinct cultures of various societies. The aim of this book was to analyze Japanese-style organizations, and the author will be pleased if it has made a contribution to this larger discussion.

NOTES

CHAPTER 1. THE FORMATION OF THE HOUSEHOLD AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF WARRIOR RULE

1. Ishii Susumu, *Chūsei bushidan*, vol. 12 of *Nihon no rekishi* (Shōgakukan, 1974).
2. Ishii Susumu, *Kamakura bushi no jitsuzō* (Heibonsha, 1987).
3. Uwayokote Masataka, *Nihon chūsei seijishi kenkyū* (Hanawa Shobō, 1970).
4. Murakami Yasusuke, Kumon Shunpei, and Satō Seizaburō, *Bunmei to shite no ie shakai* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1979).
5. Toyoda Takeshi, *Myōji no rekishi* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1971).
6. These familial organizations preserved and transmitted landholdings patrilineally and used the same family name, but were different from households (*iwai*). Inheritance was divided, but the main heir (*sōryō*) controlled the distribution of inheritances, held the bulk of the family's landholdings and wealth, and served as chief administrator. The *sōryō* also led his family into battle. The so-called *sōryō* system was prevalent among warriors in the Kamakura period and was replaced by the household starting in the late fifteenth century with the practice of a single male heir inheriting everything, including all landholdings, the family occupation, and status. Second and third sons typically received nothing and might be adopted out, or be allowed to form a branch household. Unlike the *sōryō* system, branch households maintained independence over their inheritances.
7. Yasuda Motohisa, *Shugo to jitō* (Shibundō, 1964), p. 108; Ōyama Kyōhei, *Kamakura bakufu*, vol. 9 of *Nihon no rekishi* (Shōgakukan, 1974), p. 131.
8. Kishida Hiroshi, *Daimyō ryōgoku no kōseiteki tenkai* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1983), pp. 65, 193; Imatani Akira, *Shugo ryōgoku shibai kikō no kenkyū* (Hōsei Daigaku Shuppankyoku, 1986).
9. Nagahara Keiji, *Nihon chūsei no shakai to kokka* (Nihon Hōsō Shuppan Kyōkai, 1982), p. 147; Kurokawa Naonori, "Shugoryō kokutaisei to shōen taisetsu," *Nihonshi kenkyū*, 57.

10. Ikegami Hiroko, *Sengoku no gunzō*, vol. 10 of *Nihon no rekishi* (Shūeisha, 1992), p. 90; Kawai Masaharu, *Chūsei buke shakai no kenkyū* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1973), p. 387; Fujiki Hisashi, ed., *Mōrishi no kenkyū*, vol. 14 of *Sengoku daimyō ronshū* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1984).
11. Kasaya Kazuhiko, ed., *Kuge to buke: "ie" no hikaku – bunmeishi teki Kōsatsu* (Shibunkaku Shuppan, 1999).

CHAPTER 2. ODA NOBUNAGA'S ORGANIZATIONAL REFORMS

1. Tokutomi Iichirō, *Kinsei Nihon kokuminshi: Oda Nobunaga hen* (Kōdansha, 1991); Wakita Osamu, *Oda Nobunaga* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1987). The description here derives from chapter 1, section 3 of Kasaya Kazuhiko, *Kinsei buke shakai no seiji kōzō* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1993).
2. Imai Rintarō, *Oda Nobunaga* (Chikuma Shobō, 1966).
3. Asao Naohiro, *Tenka ittō*, vol. 8 of *Taikei Nihon no rekishi* (Shōgakukan, 1988), p. 50; Asao Naohiro, "Shōgun kenryoku no sōshutsu," part 2, *Rekishi hyōron*, 266; Asao Naohiro, *Shōgun kenryoku no sōshutsu* (Iwanami Shoten, 1994).
4. *Nobunaga kōki*, chapter 8 (Kadokawa Shoten, 1969).
5. Fujimoto Masayuki, *Nobunaga no sengoku gunjigaku* (JICC Shuppankyoku, 1993).
6. Miki Seiichirō, "Oda seiken no kenryoku kōzō," in vol. 1 of *Kōza Nihon kinseishi*, ed. by Fukaya Katsumi and Katō Eiichi (Yūhikaku, 1981); Murakami Yasusuke et al. (1979), p. 366.
7. Asao Naohiro (1988), p. 146.
8. Nagahara Keiji, "Daimyō ryōgokusei no kōzō," in vol. 4 of *Chūsei, Iwanami kōza Nihon rekishi* (Iwanami Shoten, 1976); Asao Naohiro, "Shōgun kenryoku no Sōshutsu," part 3, *Rekishi hyōron*, 293.
9. *Dainihon komonjo: Mōrike monjo*, no. 1226.
10. Tokutomi Iichirō (1991); Takayanagi Mitsutoshi, *Akechi Mitsuhide* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1985). When Mitsuhide attacked the Mōri family, Nobunaga allegedly confiscated Ōmi and Tanba provinces which were under Mitsuhide's control and commanded that Mitsuhide's new territory be the lands taken from the Mōri. Kuwata Tadachika concludes that these circumstances probably occurred, citing the existence of a letter dated from the fifth month of the tenth year of Tenshō (1582). In the letter, Nobunaga's son Kanbe Nobutaka, who was commanding troops in Shikoku, was appointed lord of Tanba. See Kuwata Tadachika, *Kuwata Tadachika chosakushū* (Akita Shoten, 1979), vol. 4, p. 286.

CHAPTER 3. DAIMYO HOUSEHOLDS AND DOMAINS

1. Shinji Yoshimoto, ed., *Edo jidai bushi no seikatsu* (Yūzankaku, 1962), p. 42; Takagi Shōsaku, *Nihon kinsei kokkashi no kenkyū* (Iwanami Shoten, 1990), p. 309; Kasaya Kazuhiko,

- Shukun “oshikome” no kozo: Kinsei daimyō to kashindan* (Heibonsha, 1988), p. 189.
2. *Awa han minsei shiryō* (Tokushima, 1916), vol. 1, p. 332.
 3. Izumi Seiji, “Awa han ni okeru kashindan no kōsei,” *Rekishiron*, 5; Tokushima Kenshi Hensan Iinkai, ed., vol. 3, *Kinseihen, Tokushima kenshi* (Tokushima-ken, 1965), p. 39; Kokuritsu Shiryōkan, ed., *Tokushima han shokusei torishirabe kakinuki* (Tōkyō Daigaku Shuppankai, 1983), vol. 1.
 4. Hanseishi Kenkyūkai, ed., *Hansei seiritsushi no sōgō kenkyū: Yonezawa-ban* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1963), p. 370.
 5. Mizubayashi Akira, *Hōkensei no saiben to Nibonteki shakai no kakuritsu* (Yamakawa Shuppansha, 1987).
 6. Hanseishi Kenkyūkai (1963), p. 416.
 7. Kanai Madoka, *Hansei* (Shibundō, 1962), p. 39; Kanai Madoka, *Hansei seiritsuki no kenkyū* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1975), p. 177; Suzuki Hisashi, *Kinsei chigyōsei no kenkyū* (Nihon Gakujutsu Shinkōkai, 1971), p. 469.
 8. Sasaki Junnosuke, *Bakuban kenryoku no kiso kōzō* (Ochanomizu Shobō, 1964; revised edition 1985), p. 199.
 9. Asano Yōkichi, *Inatsugu Inaba Masasane den* (Chikugo Kyōdo Kenkyūkai, 1938). This case is not mentioned in Kasaya (1988), but judging from the circumstances, it can be considered an example of *oshikome*.
 10. Yamamoto Hirobumi, “Satsuma han ni okeru kan’eiki no ichi,” in *Bakubansei no seiritsu to kinsei no kokusei*, ed. by Yamamoto Hirobumi (Azekura Shobō, 1990); Kanzaki Akitoshi, “Sagamikuni no hatamotoryō settei,” in *Bakubansei kokka seiritsu katei no kenkyū*, ed. by Kitajima Masamoto (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1978); Shirakawabe Tatsuo, “Hatamoto aimusubi chigyō ron,” in *Hatamoto chigyō to sonraku*, ed. by Kantō Kinseishi Kenkyūkai (Bunken Shuppan, 1986).
 11. Kokuritsu Kokkai Toshokanzō, Kyūbakufu Hikitsugi Monjo, *Kyōhō sen’yō ruijū*, “Beikoku no bu,” vol. 9.
 12. The “share” (*mochibun*) corresponds roughly to the amount of a retainer’s hereditary stipend, or in the case of the daimyo, the *kokudaka* of his domain.
 13. Kasaya Kazuhiko, “Shukun ‘oshikome’ no kankō to Nihongata soshiki no genkei,” in *Nihongata moderu to wa nani ka: Kokusaika jidai ni okeru merit to demerit*, ed. by Hamaguchi Eshun (Shin’yōsha, 1993).
 14. Nakane Chie, *Tateshakai no ningen kankei* (Kōdansha, 1967).
 15. Francis L. K. Hsu, *Hikaku bunmei shakairon: Kūran, kasuto, kurabu, iemoto* (translation of *Clan, Caste, and Club*) (Baifūkan, 1971); Hamaguchi Eshun, ‘Nihon rashisa’ no saibakken (Nihon Keizai Shinbunsha, 1977). Hioki Kōichirō has employed Hamaguchi’s model to analyze corporate management; Hioki Kōichirō, “Kigyō ni okeru kenryoku game no scenario bunseki (1) (2),” in *Kyūshū Daigaku Keizai Gakkai, Keizaigaku Kenkyū*, 50. 3, 4, 52, 1–4.
 16. Kawai Hayao, *Chūkū kōzō Nihon no shinsō* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1982).

17. Hamaguchi Eshun (1977), p. 181.
18. Sasaki Tsuyoshi, *Shūken, teikōken kan'yō* (Iwanami Shoten, 1973); Fukuda Kan'ichi, *Kokka, minzoku, kenyōka* (Iwanami Shoten 1988); A. Vincent, *Kokka no shoriron* (translation of *Theories of the State*) (Shōwadō, 1991).
19. A. P. d'Entrèves, *Kokka to wa nani ka* (translation of *The Notion of the State*) (Misuzu Shobō, 1972), p. 122.
20. This section draws from the excellent discussion of harmony and conflict in Japanese society in K. V. Wolferen, *Nihon: Kenryoku kōzō no nazo* (translations of *The Enigma of Japanese Power*) (Hayakawa Shobō, 1990), vol. 2, p. 144.
21. Sagara Tōru, *Bushidō* (Hanawa Shobō, 1968); Koga Hikan, *Bushidō ronkō* (Shimazu Shobō, 1974); Maruyama Masao, *Chūsei to hangyaku* (Chikuma Shobō, 1992).
22. *Mikawa monogatari, bagakure*, vol. 26, *Nihon shisō taikei* (Iwanami Shoten, 1974).
23. Kondō Hitoshi, *Kinsei ikō buke kakun no kenkyū* (Kazama Shobō, 1975).
24. "Kinsei seidō ron," vol. 38 of *Nihon shisō taikei* (Iwanami Shoten, 1976).
25. "Kinsei buke shisō," vol. 27 of *Nihon shisō taikei* (Iwanami Shoten, 1974).
26. Kondō Hitoshi (1975), p. 92.

CHAPTER 4. THE POSITION OF LEADERS IN JAPANESE-STYLE ORGANIZATIONS

1. For information about Yoshimune's life, see Tsuji Tatsuya, *Tokugawa Yoshimune* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1958); Tsuji Tatsuya, *Tokugawa Yoshimunekō den* (Nikkō Tōshōgu Shamusho, 1972); Tokutomi Sohō, *Kinsei Nihon kominshi Yoshimune jidai* (Kōdansha, 1986). This chapter derives from Kasaya Kazuhiko, *Tokugawa Yoshimune* (Chikuma Shobō, 1995).
2. Ōishi Shinzaburo, *Kyōhō kaikaku no keizai seisaku* (Ochanomizu Shobō, 1961); Ōishi Shinzaburō, *Ōoka Echizen no kami Tadasuke* (Iwanami Shoten, 1974); Tsuji Tatsuya, *Kyōhō kaikaku no kenkyū*, (Sōbunsha, 1963).
3. Ōishi Shinzaburō (1961), p. 121; Tsuji Tatsuya (1963), p. 146.
4. Ōishi Shinzaburō (1974), p. 58.
5. Ōishi Shinzaburō, "Kyōhō kaikaku," in *Kinsei 3, Iwanami kōza Nihon rekishi* (Iwanami Shoten, 1963).
6. Tsuji Tatsuya (1963), p. 187.
7. Imamura Tomo, *Ninjinshi* (Chōsen Sōtokufu, 1936); Ueda Sanpei, *Kaitei zōho Nihon yakuenshi no kenkyū*, ed. by Miura Saburō (Watanabe Shoten, 1972); Soda Hajime, "Kansei saibai Chōsen shu ninjin (otane ninjin) no hanbai," 1–4, *Igaku Jaanuru*, vol. 27, 1–4; Kimura Yojirō, *Edoki no nachurarisuto* (Asahi Shinbunsha, 1988); Ōishi Manabu, "Nihon kinsei kokka no yakuosē seisaku: Kyōhō kaikakuki o chūshin ni," *Rekishigaku kenkyū*, 63. For information about Japan's trade relations with East Asia and manufacturing policies during this period, see Hamashita Takeshi and Kawakatsu Heita, ed., *Ajia kōekiken to Nihon kōgyōka*, 1500–1900 (Riburo Pōto, 1991).
8. Tsuji Tatsuya (1958), p. 169.

9. Kukita Kazuko, *Edo bakufu bō no kenkyū* (Gannandō Shoten, 1980).
10. Tsuji Tatsuya (1958), p. 153.
11. *Tokugawa kinrei kō zenshū* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1931–1932), vol. 2, no. 799.
12. Fukai Masaumi, *Tokugawa shōgun seiji kenryoku no kenkyū* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1991), p. 84.
13. Tsuji Tatsuya (1958), p. 102.
14. “*Kyōbō yon'nен shōgatsuzuki murokyū sōshojo*,” Kenzan Hisaku, in *Nihon keizai daiten*, vol. 6.
15. *Utokuinden gojikki furoku*, in vol. 9 of *Shintei zōho kokushi taikei Tokugawa jikkī*, p. 198.
16. Fukai Masaumi (1991), p. 117.
17. “*Meiryō tairoka*” in *Kōtei shiseki shūkan*, vol. 11 (Ringawa Shoten, 1994), p. 16.
18. “Ono Hyūga no kami Kuniyoshi,” *Okinagusa* (Rekishi Toshosha, 1970), vol. 66.
19. Hasegawa Tsuyoshi, ed., *Mimibukuro* (Iwanami Shoten, 1991), “Kaisetsu,” vol. 1.
20. Ōishi Shinzaburō (1974), p. 165.
21. For information about Yōzan’s career and life, see Ikeda Nariaki, ed., *Yōzankō seiki* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1906); Yokoyama Akio, *Uesugi Yōzan* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1968).
22. Ikeda Nariaki (1906); Yokoyama Akio (1968), p. 58.
23. Amakasu Tsugunari, *Yōzankō isekiroku* (Uesugi Jinja Shamusho, 1934), p. 159.
24. Yokoyama Akio (1968), p. 70.
25. Tenmei 7. 9. 15, *Tokugawa jikkī*.
26. See Ikeda Nariaki (1906) on the same date.
27. Ikeda Nariaki (1906), p. 175; Yoshinaga Akira and Yokoyama Nariaki, “Kokusan shōrei to hansei kaikaku,” in *Kinsei 3, Iwanami kōza Nihon rekishi* (Iwanami Shoten, 1976), p. 261.
28. Yokoyama Akio (1968), p. 261.
29. Amakasu Tsugunari (1934).
30. This text is the property of Uesugi shrine in Yonezawa.
31. The following description derives from Miyake Masahiko, “Bakuhā shūjūsei no shisōteki genri: Kōshi bunri no hattēn,” *Nihonshi kenkyū*, 12; Kasaya Kazuhiko (1988), chapter 4, section 4, “Kashin chitsujo to sono shisō.”

CHAPTER 5. THE ROLE OF JAPANESE-STYLE ORGANIZATIONS IN MODERNIZATION

1. Other works that approach the problem of social organization in the context of Japan’s modernization include Murakami Yasusuke et al., *Bunmei to shite no ie shakai* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1979); Marius B. Jansen, ed., *Nihon ni okeru kindaika no mondai* (translation of *Changing Japanese Attitudes toward Modernization*) (Iwanami Shoten, 1968); Kozo Yamamura, *Nihon keizaiishi no atarashii hōhō* (translation of *A Study of Samurai Income and Entrepreneurship*)

(Minerva Shobō, 1976); Ōishi Shinzaburō and Nakane Chie, ed., *Edo jidai to kindaika* (Chikuma Shobō, 1986); Bitō Mašahide, *Edo jidai to wa nani ka: Nihon shijō no kinsei to kindai* (Iwanami Shoten, 1992); Sonoda Hidehiro, "Gunken no bushi," in *Bunmei kaika no kenkyū*, ed. by Hayashiya Tatsusaburō (Iwanami Shoten, 1979); and Mitani Hiroshi, "Meiji ishin to 'ie' mibunsei: Bakumatsu Tokugawa shōgunke ni okeru jizoku to hen'yō," in *Meiji Nihon no seijika gunzō*, ed. by Fukuchi Atsushi and Sasaki Takashi (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1993).

2. Baba Ken'ichi, "Edo bakufu kanjōsho no kōsei to shokumu bunka," *Hōsei shiron*, 3; Baba Ken'ichi, "Edo bakufu kanjōsho kikō no dōkō ni tsuite," *Nihon rekishi* 340; Nakase Katsutarō, *Tokugawa bakufu no kaikei kensa seido* (Tsukiji Shokan, 1990).
3. Izui Tomoko, "Tashidakasei ni kansuru ichikōsatsu," *Gakushūin shigaku*, 2.
4. Baba Ken'ichi, "Kanjōbugyō, kanjō ginmiyaku no shōshin katei ni kansuru ichikōsatsu," *Hōsei shigaku*, 27.
5. Hashimoto Akihiko, *Edo Bakufu shiken seidobi no kenkyū* (Kazama Shobō, 1993).
6. Max Weber, *Shihai no shoruikei* (translation of *Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft*, 1956, erster Teil, Kapitel III, IV) (Sōbunsha, 1970), p. 26.
7. Aimi Shirō, *Igirisu jūshō shugi keizai riron josetsu* (Minerva Shobō, 1960); Chizuka Tadami, "Keizaishijō no jūhasseiki," in vol. 4, *Kindai, Iwanami kōza sekai rekishi* (Iwanami Shoten, 1970).
8. Harafuji Hiroshi, *Sōzokubō no tokushitsu* (Sōbunsha, 1982).
9. Kamata Hiroshi, *Bakuhan taisei ni okeru bushi kazoku bō* (Seibundō, 1970).
10. Yokoyama Akio et al., *Uesugi Yōzan no subete* (Shin Jinbutsu Ōraisha, 1989), p. 4.
11. Harafuji Hiroshi (1982), p. 321.
12. Takayanagi Kaneyoshi, *Edo jidai gokenin no seikatsu* (Yūzankaku, 1966).
13. Takayanagi Kaneyoshi (1966); Ogawa Kyōichi, *Edo bakufu hatamoto jinmei jiten* (Hara Shobō, 1990).
14. Baba Ken'ichi, "Edo bakufu gokeninkabu baibai no jittai ni tsuite," *Komonjō kenkyū* 36.
15. Kawaji Kandō, *Kawaji Toshiakira no shōgai* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1903); chapter 4, "Kawaji Toshiakira," in Satō Seizaburō, *'Shi no chōyaku' o koete: Seiyō no shōgeki to Nihon* (Toshi Shuppan, 1992).
16. "Inoue Kiyonao," appendix 2 in Kawaji Kandō (1903); A. H. Tamarin, *Nihon kaikoku: Perry to Harris no kōshō* (translation of *Japan and the United States: Early Encounters*) (Kōbundō Shuppansha, 1986).
17. Matsuoaka Hideo, *Iwase Tadanari* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1981).
18. Ōno Mitsutsugu, ed., *Kurimoto Joun nenpu*, in vol. 4 *Meiji bungaku zenshū* (Chikuma Shobō, 1969).
19. Ishii Takashi, *Katsu Kaishū* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1962); Katsume Shinchō, annotator, *Musui dokugen: Katsu Kokichi jiden* (Kadokawa Shoten, 1974).
20. He was included among the ranks of shogunal retainers in the second year of

Shōtoku (1712). This name does not appear in Suzuki Hisashi, ed., *Gokenin bungenchō* (Kondō Shuppansha, 1984).

21. *Kansei Chōshū shogafu*, vol. 19, p. 353.
22. Sakata Seiichi, *Harisu* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1961), p. 237; Yamawaki Teijirō, *Nagasaki no tōjin bōeki* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1964), pp. 48, 294.
23. Hiramatsu Yoshirō, *Kinsei keiji soshōhō no kenkyū* (Sōbunsha, 1960), p. 21.
24. Sakata Seiichi (1961), p. 243.
25. Nakane Chie, Tateshakai no ningen Kankei (Kōdausha, 1967).
26. *Utokuinden gojikkī furoku*, *Tokugawa jikkī*, vol. 9, p. 198.
27. This was also the case with the revival of the finances of Shinshū Matsushiro domain, described in *Higurashi suzuri*. The dominal elder, Onda Moku, worked in conjunction with the people of the domain to find the best resolutions for both parties. See Murakami Yasusuke, *Han koten no seiji keizai gaku* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1992), vol. 2, p. 525. Murakami characterizes this example as a case of autonomous reform in Japan.
28. Harafuji Hiroshi (1982), pp. 172, 419.
29. In Kan'en 3 (1750) in Shinshū Matsushiro domain and in Hōreki 3 (1753) in Dewa Matsuyama domain, groups of *ashigaru* and lower level retainers held a “strike” protesting the reduction of their stipends. These cases may be the first organized strikes in Japan.
30. Fukaya Hakuji, *Shintei kashizoku chitsuroku shobun no kenkyū* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1973); Nakamura Satoru, *Meiji Ishin* (Shūeisha, 1992).
31. See “Kindaika e no bunki: Richō chōsen to Tokugawa nihon,” chapter 2 of Satō Seizaburō (1992), p. 57.
32. “Eikoku ryūgaku,” section 3 of *Ito Hirobumi den* (Tōseisha, 1940); “Chōshū han dai niji ryūgakusei,” in chapter 4, section 2, of Inuzuka Takaaki, *Meiji Ishin taigai kankeishi kenkyū* (Yoshikawa Kōbunkan, 1987), p. 146. Concerning the plans of Yoshida Shōin and other Japanese to travel abroad, see Haga Tōru, *Meiji ishin to Nibonjin* (Kōdansha, 1988), p. 110.
33. *Bōchō kaitenshi*, chapter 34, “Bunkyū san nen zenhan no Mōrishi (sono san).
34. *Denki dai nibonshi*, vol. 11, “Seijikahen, Itō Hirobumi,” p. 153.
35. Bunkyū 3.5.11, “Inoue Montara renmei shojō,” in *Bōchō kaitenshi*, chapter 34, p. 180.
36. Tsuji Kiyoaki, *Nihon kanryōsei no kenkyū* (Tokyo Daigaku Shuppankai, 1969).
37. Fritz Kern, *Chūsei no bō to kokusei* (translation of *Recht und Verfassung in Mittelalter*) (Sōbunsha, 1968); O. Hintze, *Mibunsei gikai no kigen to hatten* (translation of *Typologie der Standischen Verfassungen des Abendlandes*) (Sōbunsha, 1975).
38. Mitani Hiroshi, “Kaikoku zen'ya: Kōka, kaei nenkan no taigai seisaku,” in *Nihon gaikō no kiki ninshiki*, ed. by Kindai Nihon Kenkyūkai (Yamakawa Shuppansha, 1985); Mitani Hiroshi, “Ishin to ‘kōgi’: Saisho no ‘kōgi’ seitai soshutsu no kokoromi o chūshin ni,” in *Meiji Ishin no kakushin to renzoku*, ed. by Kindai Nihon Kenkyūkai (Yamakawa

Shuppansha, 1992). Both essays are included in Mitani Hiroshi, *Meiji Ishin to nationalism: Bakumatsu no gaikō to seiji hōdō* (Yamakawa Shuppansha, 1997).

39. Matsuoka Hideo (1981), p. 54.
40. Inoue Katsuo, “Bakumatsu ni okeru gozen kaiji to ‘yūshi’” *Shirin*, 66.5; Inoue Katsuo, *Bakumatsu ishin seijishi no kenkyū: Nihon kindai kokka no seisei ni tsuite* (Hanawa Shobō, 1994).
41. Inui Hiromi, “Mitohan tōsō no ichikōsatsu,” *Rekishigaku kenkyū*, 232. Harafuji Hiroshi, *Bakufubō to hanbō* (Sōbunsha, 1980).
42. Inoue Katsuo, “Bakumatsu ni okeru gozen kaiji to ‘yūshi.’”
43. Kasaya Kazuhiko (1988), p. 117.
44. Ōkubo Toshiaki, *Iwakura Tomomi* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1973); Inoue Katsuo, “Bakumatsu seijishi no naka no tennō: Anseiki no tennō, chōtei no fujō ni tsuite,” in vol. 2 of *Kōza zen-kindai no tennō* (Aoki Shoten, 1993).
45. Letter dated Bunkyū 2.1.22 (1862) from Kusaka Genzui to Kabayama (San'en) in Fukumoto Yoshisuke, *Shōkasonjuku juku no ijin Kusaka Genzui* (Seibundō, 1938), p. 464.
46. Tokutomi Iichirō, *Kinsei Nihon kokuminshi* (Meiji Shoin, 1934), chapter 15, section 83.
47. For Kurimoto Joun’s criticism of Iwase Tadanari, see Matsuoka Hideo (1981), p. 9.
48. The notion of individual autonomy of Tokugawa-period samurai made a strong impact on thought in the Meiji era. During his prime, Fukuzawa Yukichi strongly criticized the warrior status system during the Tokugawa period, but he revised his opinion later in his life. See Minamoto Ryōen, *Tokugawa shishō shōshō* (Chūō Kōronsha, 1973), p. 90. For the perspective of Yamaji Aizan on the relationship between the individual and state in a traditional society, see Itō Yūshi, “Seishin shugi no kakusei to Nihon e no kaiki: Yamaji Aizan to Inoue Tetsujirō,” *Nihon Shishō Shigaku*, 25.

CONCLUSION

1. Nakai Nobuhiko, *Chōnin*, vol. 21 of *Nihon no rekishi* (Shōgakukan, 1975).
2. Koike Kazuo, *Shokuba no rōdō kumiai to sankai: Rōshi kankei no Nichi-Bei bikaku* (Tōyō Keizai Shinpōsha, 1977); Murakami Yasusuke et al. (1979), vol. 2, p. 386; and Sakudō Yōtarō, “Nihonteki keiei wa ikani keisei sareta ka,” in *Edo jidai to kindaika*, ed. by Ōishi Shinzaburō and Nakane Chie (Chikuma Shobō, 1986), p. 207; see also the comments by Miyamoto Matao and Yui Tsunehiko in *Edo jidai to kindaika*.

INDEX

A

absolutism 38, 95, 103
absolutist 38, 77, 87, 92, 93, 95, 96,
 125, 191
ad hoc 139, 163
adaptability 158, 167, 188, 189
administration 53, 139
administrative decisions 120
administrative offices 51, 79, 143
adoption 12, 13, 23, 168, 169, 171, 172,
 182, 199, 206, 211
Akechi Mitsuhide 明智光秀 30, 39
Aoki Kon'yō 青木昆陽 118
Arima Ujinori 有馬氏倫 121, 123, 191
ashigaru 足軽 17, 45, 47, 78, 83, 91,
 133, 134, 135
Ashikaga Yoshiaki 足利義昭 29, 30
authoritarianism 88, 125
autocracy 36, 104, 79, 105
autonomy 25, 36, 41, 50, 60, 87, 102
Azuchi Castle 安土城 30, 34, 35

B

bloodline 168
borrowed stipend 195
bottom-up 86
bullionism 112
bureaucracy 54, 55, 56, 82, 83, 85,
 87, 88, 91, 120, 131, 156, 157, 159,
 163, 172, 173, 174, 175, 178, 180,
 183, 188, 200, 209
bushido 武士道 35, 97, 98, 99, 100,
 101, 102, 205 (→ way of the warrior)

C

central-vacuum 86
centralization 79
chigyō 知行 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62
China 9, 13, 22, 23, 103, 156, 168, 172,
 184, 185
Chinese herbalogy 116
chūkūkōzō 中空構造 86 (→ central-vacuum)
circulating letters 80 (→ ringi 粿議)

civil administration 51, 53, 78, 122
 clan 2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 22, 144, 209
 (→ uji 氏)
 Confucian learning 72, 179
 Confucianism 2, 13, 145, 155, 156, 166,
 168
 conservatism 80, 124, 177, 189, 190
 contradiction 43, 85, 125
 corporate nature 25, 26, 27
 Cruydtboek 117

D

daikan 代官 81, 132, 135
 daimyo 大名 16, 17, 18, 20, 29, 30, 31,
 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44,
 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56,
 57, 58, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69,
 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79,
 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 89, 92, 93, 98,
 103, 104, 106, 107, 108, 110, 119,
 124, 125, 133, 142, 143, 144, 146,
 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 154,
 155, 156, 157, 167, 175, 176, 183,
 187, 195, 200, 202, 203, 204, 205

Daimyo Household 43, 45, 52

daimyo household 43, 44, 45, 50, 51,
 52, 53, 54, 65, 74, 167
 death 22, 30, 31, 37, 38, 92, 97, 98,
 99, 101, 137, 143, 164

decision making 55, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83,
 85, 86, 96, 139, 189, 191, 200, 201,
 203, 210

democracy 104, 125, 149, 154, 191, 193
 deputy 29, 81, 132, 135, 173

dictatorship 86

Dodoens 117

domain 51, 53, 60, 62, 65, 67, 69,

77, 83, 86, 89, 90, 100, 126, 142
 (→ han 藩)
 domainal elder 53, 67, 92, 145, 175 (→
 karō 家老)
 dual standards 188, 189, 193
 duality 139, 188, 195, 190, 197

E

Echigoya 越後屋 208

egalitarianism 97

equality 94, 96, 194

estate 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 25,
 62 (→ shoryō 所領)

F

family head 12, 23, 24, 25, 26, 86, 87,
 88

family name 3, 22, 182, 208

family-head system 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92

Finance Magistrate 120, 126, 132, 160

foot soldiers 17, 33, 45, 50, 83, 128,
 133

formalism 188, 189, 190, 197, 199

G

ginseng 113, 114

groupism 51, 207

gun 17, 30, 33, 179

H

han 藩 42, 43, 51, 145 (→ domain)

hatagashira 旗頭 47, 48, 49

hatamoto 旗本 107, 170, 174, 178, 182,
 184, 187

hereditary 1, 2, 21, 25, 37, 41, 53,
 67, 84, 99, 105, 107, 128, 129, 130,
 134, 140, 157, 162, 184

- hierarchical organization 88, 91
 hierarchy 18, 45, 51, 53, 55, 56, 64,
 84, 85, 88, 92, 156, 174, 186, 187,
 208, 209
 hirashi 平士 45, 47, 48, 49, 52, 54, 55,
 68, 79, 83, 85, 91, 133
 Honganji 本願寺 30, 31
 honor 48, 49, 54, 61, 99, 101, 129, 150,
 162, 175, 177, 182
 horizontal 9, 18, 36, 186
 house arrest 65, 70, 72, 73, 148, 155,
 180
 household 1, 8, 21, 43, 45, 52, 167 (→ ie
 家)
 hyō 傑 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 132, 134,
 135, 136, 137, 170, 171, 174, 179,
 182
- I**
- ie 家 8, 9, 21, 167 (→ household)
 iemoto 家元 86, 87 (→ family-head
 system)
 Ikkō ikki 一向一揆 30, 31, 32, 33, 35,
 37
 independence 20, 27, 36, 38, 43, 60, 64,
 78, 87, 93, 97, 100, 102, 166, 186,
 191, 192, 195
 individual 61, 93, 200
 individual rights 125
 inheritance 9, 11, 12, 15, 23, 24, 25,
 26, 168, 171, 178, 179, 180
 initiative 55, 74, 110, 116, 142, 201
 Inoue Kaoru 井上馨 197, 198, 199,
 205
 Inoue Kiyonao 井上清直 177, 178,
 179, 185
 inspector 54, 72, 80, 126, 136, 137, 179,
 181
 Itō Hirobumi 伊藤博文 197, 199, 205
 Iwase Tadanari 岩瀬忠震 177, 178,
 180, 185, 203, 205
- J**
- Japanese-style organization 105, 124, 142,
 149, 167, 188, 207, 210
 jitō 地頭 14, 15, 16, 18
 jurisdiction 8, 15, 42, 54, 85, 119, 120,
 121, 123, 132, 192
- K**
- kachi 徒士 45, 47, 83, 126, 133, 137,
 138, 170, 173
 Kamakura bakufu 鎌倉幕府 3, 14, 15,
 16, 19
 kanjō bugyō 勘定奉行 132
 Kanō Hisamichi 加納久通 121
 Kanzawa Tokō 神沢杜口 130, 137
 karō 家老 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, 53,
 54, 66, 79, 82, 83, 85 (→ domainal
 elder)
 Katsu Kaishū 勝海舟 182, 183, 187,
 205
 Kawaji Toshiakira 川路聖謨 173,
 176, 178, 179, 187, 203, 205
 Kido Takayoshi 木戸孝允 198, 199, 203
 Koku 石 56, 57
 kokudaka 石高 39, 40, 53, 57, 59, 61,
 62, 78, 84, 127, 128, 134, 135
 kokujin 国人 17, 18, 20, 21, 36
 kokujin ikki 国人一揆 18, 20, 21, 36
 Korea 113, 114, 168, 197
 Kujikata osadamegaki 公事方御定書 119
 kumigashira 組頭 45, 47, 83, 91, 126,
 132, 133, 147, 151, 208

kuni 国 4, 15, 32, 34, 40, 41 (→ province)

kunimochi 国持 40, 41

kurairechi 蔵入地 57, 62

Kurimoto Joun 栗本鋤雲 180, 205

Kusaka Genzui 久坂玄瑞 198, 205

Kyōhō-era reforms 享保改革 112, 140, 165, 166

L

leader 178, 182

leadership 20, 43, 49, 103, 104, 105, 125, 142, 143, 181, 195, 203

lineage 6, 13, 21, 22, 23, 144, 174

local lords 6, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 36, 60, 63, 64, 65, 92, 167 (→ zaichi ryōshū 左地領主)

lord-vassal system 51

Louis Frois 34

loyalty 35, 41, 56, 71, 97, 98, 99, 100, 102, 155, 210

M

match-lock gun 30, 33

medicinal plants 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 122, 123, 140

Meiji Restoration 42, 55, 158, 164, 166, 172, 184, 202, 203

Meikun kakun 明君家訓 101, 102, 205

mercantilism 112

metsuke 目付 52, 54, 72, 75, 78, 123, 147

military rank 53, 74, 79

Mitsui Takatoshi 三井高利 209

Mōri Motonari 毛利元就 20, 21, 36

mochibun 持分 77, 194

modern 65, 89, 92

modernization 112, 158, 164, 165, 166, 167, 182, 184, 191, 193, 196, 197, 199, 205, 206, 207

monogashira 物頭 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, 54, 55, 56, 72, 75, 78, 79, 83, 91, 128, 147, 148

Muro Kyūsō 室鳩巣 101

N

Nagasaki trade 111, 112

national wealth 112, 113

Neo-Confucianism 156

Noro Genjō 野呂玄丈 118

O

oath 70, 71, 75

obedience 34, 77, 90, 98, 99, 192

Oda Nobunaga 織田信長 29, 39, 43, 103

Ofuregaki shūsei 御触書集成 120

Okinagusa 翁草 130, 135, 137

Ono Kuniyoshi 小野一吉 135, 137, 159

Oranda honzōwage 阿蘭陀本草和解 118

oshikome 押込 65, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 92, 93, 104, 124, 146, 147, 149 (→ house arrest)

Ōoka Tadasuke 大岡忠相 109, 110, 119, 120

P

patriarchy 207

patrilineal succession 168, 169

personal rights 125

policy-making 142

political reform 66, 67, 125, 148

power 83

procedures 192
 progressivism 124, 207
 promotion 60, 125, 126, 129, 135, 137,
 138, 139, 159, 162, 163, 164, 172, 173,
 174, 175, 186, 187, 188, 189, 192, 208,
 210
 province 4, 11, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21,
 29, 31, 32, 36, 37, 40, 41, 42, 53, 54,
 69, 71, 136, 137, 138, 142, 174, 176,
 182, 204
 public 148, 153
 public discussion 148, 149

R

Rangaku 蘭學 116, 118, 165, 183 (→ western learning)
 realm 114, 154, 155, 157, 192
 rebellion 16, 20, 31, 38, 43, 66, 76, 77,
 143, 148
 reform 65, 66, 67, 71, 105, 108, 109,
 119, 120, 124, 125, 142, 144, 148, 151,
 152, 153, 172, 189, 190, 201, 202, 206
 remonstration 72, 74, 75, 79, 145, 148
 removal 69, 70, 71, 74, 75, 147, 149, 204
 resistance 36, 38, 43, 72, 211
 retirement 67, 70, 71, 72, 74, 75, 76,
 77, 143, 150, 176, 208
 revolt 145, 148, 149
 ringi 禀議 79, 81, 82, 86, 200, 201, 210
 (→ circulating letters)
 rōjū 老中 81, 83, 107, 120, 122

S

saishukkin 再出勤 75
 sakite 先手 47, 48, 49, 50
 sakizonae 先備 47, 48, 49, 50
 seclusionism 167, 197, 198, 199, 203,

204
 senior council 81, 107, 120, 121, 122,
 123, 124, 140, 141, 142, 191, 203
 shakuchi 借知 194, 195
 share 35, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 93, 94, 96,
 98, 134
 shogun 将軍 1, 3, 6, 14, 15, 30, 39, 40,
 41, 56, 58, 61, 89, 90, 101, 103, 105,
 106, 107, 108, 111, 114, 117, 120, 121,
 122, 135, 136, 137, 140, 141, 142, 150,
 155, 159, 162, 169, 170, 174, 176, 179,
 181, 182, 190, 191, 205
 shoryō 所領 6 (→ estate)
 shūgi 衆議 149, 191 (→ public discussion)
 shugo 守護 14, 15, 16, 19, 29, 89
 social status 96, 97, 104, 105, 196
 sonae 備 47, 48, 49, 50
 sovereignty 95, 96
 stability 112, 164, 167, 187, 192
 status 52, 169
 stipend 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 84, 127,
 128, 130, 131, 134, 170, 171, 174,
 178, 180, 182, 195, 196
 substance 188, 189, 190, 193, 197, 199
 succession 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 22, 23,
 24, 25, 26, 76, 143, 168, 169, 170, 171,
 180
 supplemental salary 60, 126, 127, 128,
 129, 130, 131, 134, 159, 164, 167, 172,
 179, 187, 190 (→ tashidaka 足高)

T

Takasugi Shinsaku 高杉晋作 198, 199
 talent 163, 167
 tashidaka 足高 60, 126, 127, 134, 135,
 159 (→ supplemental salary)

- Tokugawa Ieyasu 德川家康 40, 101,
154
- Tokugawa Yoshimune 德川吉宗 101,
102, 103, 104, 105, 107, 115, 143, 151,
164
- top-down 86
- tozama 外様 41
- traditionalism 207
- tyrant 68, 76, 77
- U**
- Uesugi Yōzan 上杉鷹山 103, 104, 105,
142, 145, 150, 164, 165, 191
- uji 氏 2, 9 (→ clan)
- unification 18, 30, 95
- V**
- vertical 18, 36, 37, 43, 56, 86, 97, 186,
187, 201
- W**
- vertical society 86, 97, 186, 187
- way of the warrior 35, 97, 101 (→ bushido
武士道)
- welfare 51, 115, 155
- West 23, 26, 27, 86, 95, 103, 112,
116, 117, 166, 167, 176, 179, 184, 185,
196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 203, 204,
211
- Western learning 116, 117, 165, 183
- Y**
- Yamamoto Tsunetomo 山本常朝 98
- yōnin 用人 72, 73, 79
- Z**
- zaichi ryōshū 在地領主 6, 36, 44,
60, 89, 167, 200 (→ local losds)

NICHIBUNKEN MONOGRAPH SERIES No. 3

**THE ORIGIN AND
DEVELOPMENT OF
JAPANESE-STYLE
ORGANIZATION**

BY

KASAYA KAZUHIKO

NICHIBUNKEN

KAZUHIKO AKAHARA

THE ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF
APANESE-STYLED ORGANIZATION